Network Open.

# Original Investigation | Pediatrics Cerebral Cortical Surface Structure and Neural Activation Pattern Among Adolescent Football Players

Taylor R. Zuidema, MS; Jiancheng Hou, PhD; Kyle A. Kercher, PhD; Grace O. Recht, MA; Sage H. Sweeney, BS; Nishant Chenchaiah, BS; Hu Cheng, PhD; Jesse A. Steinfeldt, PhD; Keisuke Kawata, PhD

# Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Recurring exposure to head impacts in American football has garnered public and scientific attention, yet neurobiological associations in adolescent football players remain unclear.

**OBJECTIVE** To examine cortical structure and neurophysiological characteristics in adolescent football players.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** This cohort study included adolescent football players and control athletes (swimming, cross country, and tennis) from 5 high school athletic programs, who were matched with age, sex (male), and school. Neuroimaging assessments were conducted May to July of the 2021 and 2022 seasons. Data were analyzed from February to November 2023.

EXPOSURE Playing tackle football or noncontact sports.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data were analyzed for cortical thickness, sulcal depth, and gyrification, and cortical surface-based resting state (RS)-functional MRI analyses examined the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF), regional homogeneity (ReHo), and RS-functional connectivity (RS-FC).

**RESULTS** Two-hundred seventy-five male participants (205 football players; mean [SD] age, 15.8 [1.2] years; 5 Asian [2.4%], 8 Black or African American [3.9%], and 189 White [92.2%]; 70 control participants; mean [SD] age 15.8 [1.2] years, 4 Asian [5.7], 1 Black or African American [1.4%], and 64 White [91.5%]) were included in this study. Relative to the control group, the football group showed significant cortical thinning, especially in fronto-occipital regions (eg, right precentral gyrus: t = -2.24; P = .01; left superior frontal gyrus: -2.42; P = .002). Elevated cortical thickness in football players was observed in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex (eg, left posterior cingulate cortex: t = 2.28; P = .01; right caudal anterior cingulate cortex 3.01; P = .001). The football group had greater and deeper sulcal depth than the control groups in the cingulate cortex, precuneus, and precentral gyrus (eg, right inferior parietal lobule: t = 2.20; P = .004; right caudal anterior cingulate cortex: 4.30; P < .001). Significantly lower ALFF was detected in the frontal lobe and cingulate cortex of the football group (t = -3.66 to -4.92; P < .01), whereas elevated ALFF was observed in the occipital regions (calcarine and lingual gyrus, t = 3.20; P < .01). Similar to ALFF, football players exhibited lower ReHo in the precentral gyrus and medial aspects of the brain, such as precuneus, insula, and cingulum, whereas elevated ReHo was clustered in the occipitotemporal regions (t = 3.17; P < .001; to 4.32; P < .01). There was no group difference in RS-FC measures.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** In this study of adolescent athletes, there was evidence of discernible structural and physiological differences in the brains of adolescent football players

(continued)

Den Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(2):e2354235. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.54235

## **Key Points**

Question Is playing tackle football associated with brain surface structure and functional alterations in adolescent football players?

Findings In a cohort study of 205 adolescent football players and 70 noncontact control athletes, adolescent football players showed signs of altered brain structures including cortical thinning and changes in brain folding. Also, football players showed lower brain signaling and coherence in frontal and medial parts of the brain, but increased signaling and coherence were observed in the occipital lobe.

Meaning These findings suggest playing football may be associated with a different trajectory of cortical maturations and aging processes, and many affected brain regions are associated with mental well-being.

#### Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

#### Abstract (continued)

compared with their noncontact controls. Many of the affected brain regions were associated with mental health well-being.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(2):e2354235. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.54235

## Introduction

A single football possesses the extraordinary ability to foster unity among individuals spanning diverse ages, races and ethnicities, and socioeconomic backgrounds.<sup>1</sup> However, this unifying force comes at a cost, with repetitive subconcussive head impacts often stemming from tackling, posing a risk of triggering neurodegenerative disorders.<sup>2</sup> This issue is particularly pertinent to young athletes, as a recent study<sup>3</sup> has reported cases of chronic traumatic encephalopathy among young adults with a history of head impact exposure.

Numerous neuroimaging studies have delved into the neurological correlates of sports-related brain injuries. For example, former athletes engaged in collision sports (eg, football, soccer, boxing) exhibit reduced cortical thickness across widespread brain regions compared with their nonathlete counterparts.<sup>4-6</sup> A large-scale pediatric mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) study revealed significant cortical thinning in frontal and occipital regions among male patients with persistent symptoms beyond 3 months compared with those without persistent symptoms.<sup>7</sup> Likewise, current high school and college football players showed greater brain volume reduction and cortical thinning in frontotemporal regions after a single<sup>8</sup> and also several seasons,<sup>9</sup> compared with control athletes (eg, volleyball). Furthermore, resting-state (RS) functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) functional connectivity has revealed neurophysiological changes due to repetitive head impacts. For instance, decreased connectivity was pronounced in frontal and cingulate regions, whereas increased connectivity was identified in posterior brain regions (eg, precuneus, supramarginal gyrus) after a rugby game<sup>10</sup> and a season in collision sports.<sup>11-13</sup> However, limitations in prior studies, such as small sample sizes from a single high school program and narrow panels of imaging, hinder the broad implications of these findings.

Addressing these limitations, multiparameter morphometric techniques, encompassing volumetric analysis (cortical thickness) and geometric analysis (gyrification and sulcal depth), provide valuable insights into the neurobiological underpinnings of maturation, aging, injury, and degenerative conditions.<sup>14,15</sup> The cortical thickness informs changes in gray matter volumes, whereas the gyrification and sulcal depth examine the complexity and depth of cortical folding, respectively.<sup>16</sup> In addition, a cortical surface-based fMRI analysis<sup>17</sup> offers a novel approach to examine not only the RS functional connectivity (FC) but also the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) and regional homogeneity (ReHo) on the cortical surface.<sup>18</sup> ALFF estimates the total neural signaling power, while ReHo gauges the coherence of neighboring neural activity.

In this cohort study, we applied these advanced neuroimaging techniques to uncover cortical structure and neurophysiological differences between high school football players and controls participating in noncontact sports. Our hypothesis was that compared with controls, the football group would exhibit (1) lesser cortical thickness and gyrification and greater sulcal depth, (2) lower localized neural activity (ALFF) and coherence of neural signals (ReHo) and (3) altered RS-FC involving the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). The selection of the DLPFC as a seed is grounded in its role as a neural network hub, supported by previous studies demonstrating altered activation patterns in the DLPFC after a football season<sup>19</sup> and the presence of neurofibrillary tangle in this region among young adults with chronic traumatic encephalopathy.<sup>3</sup>

#### Methods

#### **Participants**

This cohort study included male high school football players and male high school noncontact control athletes from 5 high schools in the Midwest. The data collection was conducted during preseason before the 2021 and 2022 seasons. Inclusion criteria were being current members of the high school football team or noncontact sports team (swimming, cross country, and tennis) and being between the ages of 13 and 18 years. Control participants were matched to football participants with sex (male), age, and school they attend. Exclusion criteria were a history of moderate-to-severe TBI, organized contact sports experience for the control athletes, and any MRI contraindications. A history of mTBI or concussion was permitted if asymptomatic for the past 6 months. Race and ethnicity data were based on self-report and were assessed in this study because providing racial data will help interpret the neurological outcomes in relation to head impact burden from football participation. All participants and their legal guardians provided informed consent, and the Indiana University institutional review board approved the study protocol. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

## **MRI Data Acquisition**

The MRI data were acquired on a 3T Prisma MRI scanner (Siemens) equipped with a 64-channel head/neck coil. Cortical morphometry measures were based on high-resolution anatomical images (T1-weighted) acquired using 3D MPRAGE pulse sequence with the following parameters: repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE), 2400/2.3 ms; inversion time, 1060 ms; flip angle, 8; matrix, 320 × 320; bandwidth, 210 Hz/pixel; integrated parallel acquisition technique, 2, which resulted in 0.8-mm isotropic resolution. Resting-state blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal was collected using a simultaneous multislice, single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence: TR/TE, 800/30 ms; flip angle, 52°; matrix, 90 × 90; field-of-view, 216 mm; resolution, 2.4 mm isotropic; and multiband acceleration factor, 6, with 1000 total volumes acquired over 12 minutes while the participant passively viewed a crosshair.

#### **Cortical Morphometry Preprocessing and Analyses**

The detailed preprocessing workflow is described in the eMethods in Supplement 1. The Computational Anatomy Toolbox 12v (CAT12) version 6 (Structural Brain Mapping Group) was used for the T1-weighted MRI data preprocessing. The preprocessing consisted of bias-field correction, skull-stripping, and alignment to the Montreal Neurological Institute structural template to classify gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Spatial normalization was conducted with the Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra registration (1.5 mm).<sup>20</sup> A spherical harmonic method was used to reparametrize the cortical surface mesh.<sup>20,21</sup> A voxel-based distance method was used to estimate the WM segment by calculating the distance from the inner GM boundary. Values at the outer GM boundary in the WM distance map were projected back to the inner GM boundary to generate the GM thickness. The cortical thickness data were spatially smoothed with a Gaussian kernel with a 15 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM). The gyrification estimates cortical fold complexity based on spherical harmonics and was calculated as absolute mean curvature.<sup>22</sup> The sulcal depth is calculated as the Euclidean distance with the sqrt function.<sup>22</sup>

#### Preprocessing for Surface-Based RS-fMRI Analysis

The workflow of the preprocessing for the surface-based RS-fMRI analysis is extensive, as described in the eMethods in Supplement 1. The brief summary of steps is as follows: (1) a reference volume and its skull-stripped version were generated using fMRIPrep; (2) the BOLD reference was

coregistered to the T1 image; (3) participants with head motions beyond a frame-wise displacement of more than 3.0 mm and 3.0 degrees were excluded from fMRI analyses; and (4) the BOLD time-series were resampled onto their original, native space.

## **ALFF and ReHo Analyses**

ALFF and ReHo analyses were conducted using DPABISurf version 1.2 (R-fMRI Network).<sup>17,23</sup> For ALFF, the resampled functional images were spatially smoothed with a FWHM of 6 mm. The square root of the power spectrum was calculated to obtain a raw ALFF map. ALFF values for each voxel were divided by the global mean ALFF value for standardization. Surface-based ReHo maps were produced by calculating the concordance of the Kendall coefficient of the time series of a given vertex in the surface space with nearest neighbors. This computational approach was repeated for all vertices in surfaces. The individual ReHo maps were smoothed by a 3D Gaussian kernel of 6 mm FWHM for further statistical analysis.

#### **Analyses for Seed-Based RS-FC Analysis**

As with ALFF and ReHo, the seed-based FC analyses were conducted on the cortical surface. First, temporal filtering was applied to the fMRI data, specifically in the frequency range of 0.01 to 0.1 Hz. The mean CSF signal was removed by linear regression. Then, the 3-dimensional volumes comprising 210 images for each participant were transformed to the surface. Normalization procedures, including a smoothing operation within the surface space to a 9-mm radius, were performed. For the surface mapping of the fMRI data, a weighted-mean method that uses a Gaussian kernel for mapping along the normal was applied. Lastly, regions of interest extraction was performed within the surface space. The bilateral DLPFC was selected as our region-of-interest (ROI) because of its involvement in brain injury.<sup>24</sup> A Pearson correlation coefficient was generated between the DLPFC and the whole brain. The correlation coefficients were transformed into Fisher *z* scores for statistical analysis.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic differences between the football and control groups were assessed by 2-sample *t* tests for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables. Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) due to significant results in Shapiro-Wilk normality tests. Group comparison on morphological metrics was performed using CAT12 and analyzed via a nonparametric permutation technique (5000 permutations). Age, body mass index (BMI), number of previous concussions, depression score via PHQ-9, anxiety score via GAD-7, and intracranial volume were included as covariates. The threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) was used in the permutation test, which gives cluster-based thresholding for familywise error correction, and the level of significance was set to *P* < .02 to reflect 3 morphological outcomes.

For the RS-fMRI analyses, the 2-tailed, independent-samples *t* tests were performed in the DPABISurf toolkit to compare group differences in mean and peak ALFF and ReHo. For ALFF and ReHo, the statistical significance was set to P < .01. We used TFCE to obtain a significant group difference. Similarly, the 2-tailed, independent-samples *t* tests were used to compare RS-FC strengths between groups. For all RS-fMRI analyses, age, BMI, previous concussions, and mean head motion were included as covariates. Multiple comparisons were corrected by TFCE, and the level of statistical significance was set at 2-tailed P < .03 to reflect bilateral DLPFC as seeds. The number of permutations was set at 5000. Data were analyzed from February to November 2023.

## Results

#### **Demographic Characteristics**

A total of 275 participants (205 football players and 70 noncontact athletes in the control group) were included in this study. The sample consisted of all males: 2 (1%) were American Indian or Alaska

Native; 9 (3%) were Asian; 9 (3%) were Black or African American; 2 (1%) were multiracial; and 253 (92%) were White. A total of 23 participants (8%) were Latino or Hispanic, and 252 (92%) were not Latino or Hispanic. Demographics are summarized in **Table 1**. All samples contributed to the cortical structure analysis. For RS-fMRI analysis, data from 56 football participants and 11 controls were excluded due to head motion exceeding a frame-wise displacement of 3.0 mm. As a result, a total of 208 participants (149 football players and 59 in the control group) contributed to the RS-fMRI analyses.

## **Group Differences in Cortical Structure**

Relative to the control group, the football group showed significant cortical thinning in various brain regions in both hemispheres, such as precentral gyrus, lateral occipital gyrus, lingual gyrus, and middle or superior frontal gyrus (eg, right precentral gyrus: t = -2.24; P = .01; left superior frontal gyrus: -2.42; P = .002) (**Figure 1**A). Conversely, there was a notable thickening in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex in the football group relative to controls (eg, left posterior cingulate cortex: t = 2.28; P = .01; right caudal anterior cingulate cortex 3.01; P = .001).

A significantly greater (deeper) sulcal depth was observed in widespread brain regions of the football group compared with controls, such as the cingulate cortex, precentral gyrus, and frontotemporal regions (eg, right inferior parietal lobule: t = 2.20; P = .004; right caudal anterior cingulate cortex: 4.30; P < .001) (Figure 1B). Despite small clusters, there were 4 regions showing lesser (shallower) sulcal depth in the football group, including left temporal pole, superior parietal lobule, pericalcarine gyrus, and lateral occipital gyrus (eg, left pericalcarine gyrus: t = -2.13; P = .01; left temporal pole: 3.40; P = .01).

Compared with the control group, the football group showed greater gyrification in many regions in both hemispheres, such as the cingulate cortex, frontoparietal regions, precuneus, and lingual gyrus (eg, left lingual gyrus: t = 2.44; P = .01; right posterior cingulate cortex: t = 3.44; P < .001), whereas lesser gyrification was observed in the pericalcarine, superior temporal gyrus, parsobitalis gyrus, and caudal anterior cingulate cortex (eg, left superior temporal gyrus: t = -2.38;

Participants, No. (%)     Participants, No. (%)       Variable     Football (n = 205)     Control (n = 70)     P value       Male sex     205 (100)     70 (100)     NA       Age, mean (SD), v     15.8 (1.21)     15.8 (1.2)     .217	e
Variable     Football (n = 205)     Control (n = 70)     P value       Male sex     205 (100)     70 (100)     NA       Age, mean (SD), y     15.8 (1.21)     15.8 (1.2)     .217	e
Male sex     205 (100)     70 (100)     NA       Age, mean (SD), y     15.8 (1.21)     15.8 (1.2)     .217	
Age, mean (SD), v 15.8 (1.21) 15.8 (1.2) .217	
Body mass index, mean (SD) <sup>a</sup> 26.9 (5.9)     22.0 (6.2)     <.01	
Race	
American Indian or Alaska Native1 (0.5)1 (1.4)	
Asian 5 (2.4) 4 (5.7)	
Black or African American     8 (3.9)     1 (1.4)     .21	
White     189 (92.2)     64 (91.5)	
Multirace 2 (1.0) 0 (0.0)	
Ethnicity	
Not Latino or Hispanic     189 (92.2)     63 (90.0)	
Latino or Hispanic 16 (7.8) 7 (10.0)	
No. of previous concussions	
0 150 (73.2) 57 (88.6)	
1 45 (21.9) 7 (10.0) .05	
2 10 (4.9) 1 (1.5)	
Years of tackle football experiences, mean (SD) 5.6 (8.8) 0 NA	
Age of first exposure to tackle football, mean (SD), y 10.9 (3.0) 0 NA	
PHQ-9 score, mean (SD)     2.67 (3.8)     2.7 (2.7)     .12	
GAD-7 score, mean (SD) 2.0 (3.0) 2.5 (3.3) .89	

Abbreviations: GAD-7, General Anxiety Disorder-7 (assess anxiety symptoms); NA, not applicable; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (assesses depressive symptoms).

<sup>a</sup> Body mass index is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

P = .004; left pericalcarine gyrus: -3.15; P < .001) (Figure 1C). Detailed information for each brain region is listed in **Table 2**.

#### **Group Differences in ALFF and ReHo**

Significantly lower ALFF was detected in large areas of the frontal regions and cingulate cortex in the football group relative to the control group, such as left middle, superior, and triangular frontal gyri; left precentral gyrus; middle and anterior cingulate cortex; and bilateral insula (t = -3.66 to -4.92; P < .01) (**Figure 2**A, 2B). Conversely, significantly higher ALFF was noted in the left medial occipital regions of the football group, including the lingual gyrus (t = 3.20; P < .01) and calcarine sulcus (t = 3.28; P < .01).

Similar to ALFF, there was a significantly higher ReHo in the occipitotemporal regions of the football group relative to the control group, including lingual, inferior and middle occipital gyri, calcarine sulcus, and middle and inferior temporal gyrus (t = 3.17 to 4.32; P < .01). Significantly lower ReHo was observed in the bilateral precentral gyri, as well as medial brain regions, including bilateral middle and posterior cingulate cortex, insula and putamen (t = -3.65 to t = -7.16) (Figure 2C, 2D). Detailed information for each brain region is listed in **Table 3**.

## **Group Difference in RS-FC**

RS-FC was also assessed on the cortical surface, and the DLPFC was our seed region due to its role as a network hub and one of the regions vulnerable to brain trauma. Our analysis revealed that there were no significant differences in functional connectivity (t < 2.0, P > .05).

#### Figure 1. Cortical Morphological Differences Between the Adolescent Football Players and Control Athletes



Group difference was assessed for cortical thickness (A), sulcal depth (B), and gyrification (C). The multiple comparison correction was used with nonparametric permutations (5000 permutations) and the threshold-free cluster enhancement correction after 5000 permutations. Red indicates that the football group is higher compared with the control group. Blue indicates that the football group is lower compared with the control group.

Table 2. Differences in Cortical Structure Between the Football and Control Groups								
		Coordinat	es					
Measure and brain regions	BA	х	у	Z	Cluster size	Peak t value <sup>a</sup>	P value	
Cortical thickness								
Football > control								
Left posterior cingulate cortex	23	-12	-11	46	59	2.28	.01	
Right posterior cingulate cortex	23	3	-12	33	229	2.95	.001	
Right caudal anterior cingulate cortex	24	3	-2	40	51	3.01	.001	
Football < control								
Left rostral middle frontal gyrus	11	-4	61	-10	84	-2.38	.002	
Left superior frontal gyrus	9	-4	-11	30	66	-2.42	.002	
Left lateral occipital gyrus	17	-3	-87	-7	54	-2.33	.004	
Right lateral occipital gyrus	17	14	-83	8	89	-2.40	.004	
Right lingual gyrus	19	31	-83	-15	72	-2.33	.001	
Right fusiform	18	23	-58	-8	37	-2.41	.004	
Right insula	47	43	16	-6	55	-2.26	.01	
Right precuneus	7	5	-58	39	31	-2.31	.01	
Right precentral gyrus	6	17	-19	68	43	-2.24	.01	
Right inferior temporal gyrus	21	47	-35	-4	31	-2.39	.004	
Sulcal depth								
Football > controls								
Left lingual gyrus	19	-35	-85	-18	290	3.14	.001	
Left isthmus cingulate cortex	23	-10	-8	42	224	2.62	.001	
Left precuneus	30	-7	-49	7	80	3.13	.001	
Left superior frontal gyrus	11	-18	45	38	78	2.29	<.001	
Left superior temporal gyrus	21	-52	-34	-2	75	2.82	.003	
Left posterior cingulate cortex	24	-13	-43	36	69	3.18	.001	
Left lateral occipital gyrus	17	-5	-88	-2	46	2.40	.001	
Left bankssts gyrus	42	-60	-29	18	42	2.40	.003	
Right superior frontal gyrus	6	7	-4	62	459	4.06	<.001	
Right superior temporal gyrus	21	49	7	-17	268	3.25	.001	
Right middle temporal gyrus	20	58	-12	-19	171	2.94	.001	
Right rostral middle frontal gyrus	44	43	4	30	165	2.42	<.001	
Right superior parietal lobule	40	35	-51	57	149	2.56	.002	
Right posterior cingulate cortex	7	14	-20	40	137	4.23	<.001	
Right paracentral gyrus	7	10	-63	63	129	2.50	<.001	
Right caudal anterior cingulate cortex	32	11	13	41	81	4.30	<.001	
Right precentral gyrus	6	43	0	46	81	3.00	.002	
Right bankssts gyrus	21	51	-46	7	47	2.65	.004	
Right inferior parietal lobule	48	60	-25	27	40	2.20	.004	
Football < controls								
Left lateral occipital gyrus	19	-35	-85	-18	30	-2.36	.01	
Left superior parietal lobule	5	-11	-58	56	72	-2.71	.001	
Left pericalcarine gyrus	19	25	-81	17	35	-2.13	.01	
Left temporal pole	36	-27	12	-40	21	3.40	.01	

(continued)

leasure and brain regions	ВА	Coordinates					
		x	у	Z	Cluster size	Peak t value <sup>a</sup>	P value
rification							
Football > controls							
Left temporal pole	36	-27	12	-40	86	3.40	<.001
Left supramarginal gyrus	40	-51	-35	25	123	2.93	.002
Left paracentral gyrus	4	-5	-28	54	88	3.42	<.001
Left rostral middle frontal gyrus	10	-21	62	6	84	3.05	.002
Left lateral orbitofrontal gyrus	11	-25	42	-11	52	3.28	.001
Left rostral middle frontal gyrus	11	-48	-23	8	35	2.64	.001
Left inferior temporal gyrus	37	-55	-56	-5	60	2.91	.002
Left lingual gyrus	19	-31	-75	-18	50	2.44	.01
Right isthmus cingulate cortex	23	17	-42	47	59	2.74	<.001
Right posterior cingulate cortex	23	9	-39	36	31	3.44	<.001
Right parstriangularis gyrus	45	46	37	3	98	3.17	<.001
Right precuneus	7	5	-62	40	45	2.61	<.001
Right rostral middle frontal gyrus	45	47	37	3	73	3.43	<.001
Right superior frontal gyrus	9	15	34	51	63	2.56	.01
Right inferior parietal lobule	40	35	-49	51	78	2.88	.003
Right superior parietal lobule	6	25	-42	59	46	3.11	.002
Right supramarginal gyrus	40	51	-43	43	41	2.81	.002
Football < controls							
Left pericalcarine gyrus	17	-17	-56	6	110	-3.15	<.001
Left superior temporal gyrus	48	-47	-26	8	69	-2.38	.004
Right parsorbitalis gyrus	10	7	47	-5	76	-2.59	<.001
Right caudal anterior cingulate cortex	32	15	43	9	30	-2.62	<.001

Abbreviation: BA, Brodmann area.

<sup>a</sup> The multiple comparison correction was used by the threshold-free cluster enhancement correction. Positive *t* values indicate the football group is higher compared with the control group, and negative *t* values indicate the football group is lower compared with the control group.

# Discussion

The current study revealed distinctive neuroanatomical and physiological attributes associated with high school football players, delineating 4 key findings. First, these players displayed cortical thinning in numerous localized areas of the frontal and occipital regions, alongside cortical thickening in the cingulate cortex. Second, an increase in sulcal depth and greater gyrification manifested across various brain regions in football players. Third, an intriguing pattern of local neural activity (ALFF) emerged, revealing decreased ALFF in the frontal region and increased ALFF in the occipital region among football players. Lastly, coherence of neural signals (ReHo) was similar with the ALFF findings, suggesting decreased ReHo in the frontal and medial regions (eg, cingulate, insula) and increased ReHo in the occipitotemporal regions. These data collectively suggest the presence of discernible structural and physiological differences in the brains of adolescent football players compared with their noncontact control counterparts.

The associations between repetitive head impacts and changes in axonal microstructural integrity have been documented in contact sports athletes,<sup>25,26</sup> but changes in brain structure at a macro level are thought to require years of exposure to head impacts. Indeed, studies have reported cortical thinning in retired football and soccer players, specifically in the frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes.<sup>4-6</sup> Yet, several studies argue that such a morphological change is absent in young adult soccer and rugby players aged 20 to 39 years.<sup>27,28</sup> In contrast, our data showed significant cortical thinning in the fronto-occipital regions of adolescent football players' brains, accompanied by cortical

thickening in the cingulate cortex. TBI and repetitive exposure to head impacts can emerge as potential accelerators of age-related cortical thinning in these identified regions. Additionally, our observations align with a meta-analysis suggesting increased cortical thickness in the anterior/ posterior cingulate cortex among medication-free patients with major depressive disorder.<sup>29</sup> While group differences in PHQ-9 and GAD-7 were not significant in our samples, the cortical thickening observed in the cingulate cortex of football players introduces a new dimension to the discussion on the brains and mental health of adolescent football players. This is particularly relevant considering the frequent comorbidity of mental health disorders in trauma-induced neurodegenerative diseases.<sup>30</sup>

Our geometric analysis also revealed novel insights. The continual enlargement and maturation of cortical gyri and sulci are intrinsic to the developmental trajectory from youth through adolescence. While deepening and widening sulci are normal aspects of the aging process,<sup>31</sup> these morphological changes are often accelerated in patients with neurodegenerative and psychiatric disorders.<sup>32-35</sup> In our sample, greater sulcal depth was notable in football players, especially in the superior/middle frontal gyri and cingulate regions, which govern complex movement and the default mode network. Several computational studies suggest that the greatest tissue deformation occurs in the deepest sulci upon traumatic insult<sup>36</sup> due to the cerebral spinal fluid rapidly clustering in the base of the sulci and causing shearing waves, referred to as water hammer effect.<sup>31</sup> This type of mechanical stress can lead to accelerated tissue atrophy and deepening sulci.<sup>36</sup> These findings shed light on the potential impact of mechanical stressors on brain structure and provide valuable insights into the dynamics of cortical changes in individuals engaged in football.

Although lower gyrification is often associated with cognitive impairment in older adults,<sup>37</sup> a study by Wilde et al<sup>38</sup> that included 17 adolescents with a history of TBI found significantly greater gyrification in the frontal and temporal regions compared with their controls. The researchers speculated the increased gyrification was part of a compensatory mechanism to bolster impaired brain regions.<sup>38</sup> Our data are partly in agreement with Wilde et al<sup>38</sup> in that many frontotemporal regions showed greater gyrification in the football group relative to the control group, whereas lesser gyrification was notable in parieto-occipital regions.



The surface-based resting state-functional magnetic resonance imaging technique was used to test the group differences in amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (A and B) and regional homogeneity (C and D). The multiple comparison correction was used with nonparametric permutations (5000 permutations) and the threshold-free cluster enhancement correction after 5000 permutations.

Our multiparameter fMRI approach uncovered physiological characteristics related to adolescent football players. We observed lower ALFF in widespread frontal regions in football players relative to controls, suggesting a lower density of neuronal signaling. Conversely, an elevated ALFF was observed in occipital regions, especially the calcarine sulcus and lingual gyrus, which are known for their involvement in visual memory and attention. These observations are in line with Xiong et al<sup>39</sup> in that patients with concussions during the subacute phase of recovery exhibit a lower neural signaling density in the thalamus and frontal and temporal lobes, whereas increased ALFF is noted in the occipital regions. The observed ALFF patterns were mirrored in the ReHo results. Typically, the highest values of ReHo are found in the default-mode network (DMN) regions, including the prefrontal cortex, cingulum, precuneus, and angular gyrus, suggesting highly connected cortical hubs.<sup>40</sup> However, significantly lower ReHo (football < control) was observed in several of the DMN regions, such as the cingulate cortex and precuneus, alongside medial brain regions such as the insula and putamen. Given that ReHo has demonstrated correlations with glucose (r = 0.78) and oxygen

#### Table 3. Differences in Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation and Regional Homogeneity Between the Football and Control Groups

<table-container>Meximum diamRyzClusterialPertundentKIF<td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th colspan="3">Coordinates</th><th></th><th></th></td<></table-container>			Coordinates					
ALFForball > controlLeft cincine17-5-998853.201Left ingual gyrus18-20-7551153.278Forball < control	Measure and brain region	BA	х	у	z	Cluster size	Peak t value <sup>a</sup>	
Potable controlI cert long agrues17-798853.201I cert long agrues18-705153.278I cert long agrues6-503.217208-4.429I cert indice frontal gruss6-503.217208-4.429I cert indice frontal gruss8-241448208-3.63I cert indice frontal gruss11-235116-4.429I cert indice frontal gruss11-23511040-4.73I cert indice ingulate cortex32-11739289-4.359I cert indice ingulate cortex32-11730418-4.739I glut anterior cingulate cortex32-11739289-4.359I glut angrues12-11730418-4.739I glut angrues12-11730418-4.359I glut angrues13-12-71174-4.55I glut angrues13-12-711743.564I cert indice corpital gruss17-23-98-4523.486I cert indice corpital gruss17-23-98-433.174I cert indice corpital gruss17-24-64133.174I cert indice corpital gruss17-28-94-15193.174I ce	ALFF							
Interlatarine17-5-998853.201Interlingual gyrus10-775103.278Footbal17208-4.923Interlingual gyrus6-254217206-4.429Interlingual frontal gyrus6-254217206-4.024Interlingua frontal gyrus10-235116-4.024Interlingua frontal gyrus45-47338177-3.655Interlingual frontal gyrus48-301730289-4.329Interlingual actorize32-11739289-4.329Interlingual cortex32113330418-4.739Right nation cingulate cortex32113330418-4.332Interlingual gyrus18-15-7711743.564Interlingual gyrus18-15-7711743.564Interlingual gyrus18-15-73133.174Interlingual gyrus18-16-7432353.52Interlingual gyrus18-28-9413133.174Interlingual gyrus18-28-9413133.174Interlingual gyrus18-28-9413133.202Interlingual gyrus18-28-9413133.174 <td< td=""><td>Football &gt; control</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Football > control							
Intertinguage18-20-7551153.278Intertinguage	Left calcarine	17	-5	-99	8	85	3.201	
Forball < control4-50-317206-4.924felt render forolal gyrus8-241448208-3.86341-2351169-4.02441-2351169-4.02441-2351169-4.02441-2351169-4.02441-2351169-4.02441-23511735-4.7841-7333017-4.798113330418-4.73981739289-4.3528113330418-4.7398113330418-4.739811330418-4.739811330418-4.739811330418-4.739811330418-4.73981113303131811113303191111431319111113131111111313111	Left lingual gyrus	18	-20	-75	5	115	3.278	
Left precentral gyrus6-50317208-4.93Left middle frontal gyrus86-254217296-4.429Left middle frontal gyrus8-241448208-3.863Left signer of rontal gyrus45-47338117-3.655Left middle congulate cortex32-107310-4.798Right netrior cingulate cortex3211730289-4.339Right netrior cingulate cortex3211730410-4.332Right netrior cingulate cortex3211730410-4.332Right netrior cingulate cortex321177140-4.332Right netrior cingulate cortex17-23-98-4563.486Left finderior cingulate cortex18-15-7711743.205Left finderior cingulate cortex18-16-73133.174Left finderior cingulate cortex18-16-73133.174Left finderior cingulate cortex18-28-94-151093.795Left finderior net portal gyrus18-28-94-151093.795Left finderior dingulate cortex1717-102-34644.317Left finderior dingulate cortex1716-818176-3.302Right calcarine1716-81	Football < control							
Image: series of the series	Left precentral gyrus	6	-50	3	17	208	-4.923	
International gyrus     8     -24     14     48     208     -3.863       Int superior frontal gyrus     11     -23     51     1     69     -4.024       Int finerior triangular frontal gyrus     45     -47     33     8     117     -3.655       Int finerior triangular frontal gyrus     45     -47     33     8     117     -4.798       Int finisula     48     -30     17     39     289     -4.359       Right anterior cingulate cortex     32     11     33     30     418     -4.739       Right anterior cingulate cortex     32     11     74     32     -4.356       Right anterior cingulate cortex     32     11     74     -73     11     744     -556       Right control     1     17     -73     13     747     31     3.205       Int findide occipital gyrus     18     -15     -77     11     74     3.564       Int findide occipital gyrus     18     -72     -98     -4	Left middle frontal gyrus	46	-25	42	17	296	-4.429	
Left superior frontal gyrus11-2351169-4.024Left inferior triangular frontal gyrus45-47338177-3.655Left insula48-30173170-4.798Left dicingulate cortex32-11739289-4.332Right anterior cingulate cortex32113330418-4.739Right insula483467140-4.332Right insula7-73117433.564Right insula17-723-98-45263.486Left finderio occipital gyrus17-723-98-45263.486Left middle occipital gyrus18-78-7711743.205Left middle occipital gyrus18-78-94131733.174Left middle occipital gyrus20-54-49-51193.512Left fusiform7716-8181763.302Right calcarine1716-8181763.302Right calcarine2159-52-21333.476Fubil < control	Left middle frontal gyrus	8	-24	14	48	208	-3.863	
Left inferior triangular frontal gyrus45-47338117-3.655Left insula48-30173170-4.798Right anterior cingulate cortex32-11739289-4.359Right anterior cingulate cortex32113330418-4.739Right insula483467140-4.332Right insula483467140-4.332Right insula7-73-77117443.564Right insula18-15-77117443.205Left inferior occipital gyrus18-12-98-45263.486Left inferior occipital gyrus18-28-94131733.174Left inferior occipital gyrus17-73-74-73263.512Left inferior temporal gyrus20-54-43-232563.512Left inferior temporal gyrus1710-102-151093.795Right calcarine1716-8181763.302Right calcarine1259-52-21333.476Left freiding induct cortex23-5-3226947-7.161Left freiding induct cortex23-5-3226947-7.161Left freiding induct cortex23-5-3226947-7.161Left frei	Left superior frontal gyrus	11	-23	51	1	69	-4.024	
Left insula48-30173170-4.798Left middle cingulate cortex32-11739289-4.359Right anterior cingulate cortex32113330418-4.739Right insula32113330418-4.739Right insula32113330418-4.739Right insula32113330418-4.739Right insula32113330418-4.739Right insula32123130418-4.739Right insula3215-77117443.564Left inferior occipital gyrus17-23-98-445263.486Left inferior occipital gyrus18-15-77117443.564Left inferior occipital gyrus18-28-98-445263.486Left inferior occipital gyrus18-28-98-445263.486Left inferior temporal gyrus20-54-43-232563.512Ieft fusiform1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1716-8181763.302Right calcarine1216-818176-3.61Right calcarine12-101433505 <td>Left inferior triangular frontal gyrus</td> <td>45</td> <td>-47</td> <td>33</td> <td>8</td> <td>117</td> <td>-3.655</td>	Left inferior triangular frontal gyrus	45	-47	33	8	117	-3.655	
Left middle cingulate cortex32-11739289-4.359Right naterior cingulate cortex32113330418-4.739Right insula32123330418-4.739Right insula6710-4.332-4.332Right insula7346710-4.332Right insula7-77117443.564Fortball > control17-23-98-45263.486Left inferior occipital gyrus18-15-77117443.564Left middle occipital gyrus18-1653513.205Left middle occipital gyrus18-28-49151093.512Left firform comparing gyrus20-54-43-232563.512Right calcarine1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1716-81816-3.716Right didle cingulate cortex23-50-3226947-7.161Left proterior cingulate cortex23-511230-5.181Left proterior cingulate cortex2941-31263.00-6.340Right posterior cingulate cortex2941-3126300-6.360Right posterior cingulate cortex2941	Left insula	48	-30	17	3	170	-4.798	
Right anterior cingulate cortex32113330418-4.739Right insula483467140-4.332Return8-89140-4.332Right insulacontrol991407443.564Identified coripital gyrus18-15-77117443.564Left indice occipital gyrus18-1298-4523513.205Left indice occipital gyrus18-28-94131733.174Left indice occipital gyrus19-78-73193.512Left indice occipital gyrus17-74-73193.512Indice temporal gyrus20-54-43-232563.512Indice temporal gyrus1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine171681811763.302Right control2159-52-21333.476Left indide temporal gyrus23-5-3226947-7.161Right control23-5-3226947-7.161Left indide temporal gyrus6-50319245-4.863Right posterior cingulate cortex23-5-3226947-7.161Left indide cingulate cortex23 <td>Left middle cingulate cortex</td> <td>32</td> <td>-11</td> <td>7</td> <td>39</td> <td>289</td> <td>-4.359</td>	Left middle cingulate cortex	32	-11	7	39	289	-4.359	
Right insula483467140-4.332ReterminanceReterminanceReterminanceInsula controlInsula control <td c<="" td=""><td>Right anterior cingulate cortex</td><td>32</td><td>11</td><td>33</td><td>30</td><td>418</td><td>-4.739</td></td>	<td>Right anterior cingulate cortex</td> <td>32</td> <td>11</td> <td>33</td> <td>30</td> <td>418</td> <td>-4.739</td>	Right anterior cingulate cortex	32	11	33	30	418	-4.739
Reliable SolutionFortal > controlInternational SolutionInternational SolutionI	Right insula	48	34	6	7	140	-4.332	
Fortball > control     Left lingual gyrus     18     -15     -77     11     744     3.564       Left inferior occipital gyrus     17     -23     -98     -4     526     3.486       Left middle occipital gyrus     37     -44     -62     5     351     3.205       Left middle occipital gyrus     18     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left inferior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left nigdle accipital gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left nigdle accipital gyrus     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     13     505	ReHo							
Left lingual gyrus     18     -15     -77     11     744     3.564       Left nierior occipital gyrus     17     -23     -98     -4     526     3.486       Left middle occipital gyrus     37     -44     -62     5     351     3.205       Left middle occipital gyrus     18     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left niefrior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Left middle congulate	Football > control							
Left inferior occipital gyrus     17     -23     -98     -4     526     3.486       Left middle occipital gyrus     37     -44     -62     5     351     3.205       Left middle occipital gyrus     18     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left inferior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Left lingual gyrus	18	-15	-77	11	744	3.564	
Left middle occipital gyrus     37     -44     -62     5     351     3.205       Left middle occipital gyrus     18     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left inferior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Left inferior occipital gyrus	17	-23	-98	-4	526	3.486	
Left middle occipital gyrus     18     -28     -94     13     173     3.174       Left inferior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right calcarine     17     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Left middle occipital gyrus	37	-44	-62	5	351	3.205	
Left inferior temporal gyrus     20     -54     -43     -23     256     3.512       Left fusiform     37     -28     -49     -15     109     3.795       Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Left middle occipital gyrus	18	-28	-94	13	173	3.174	
Left fusiform37-28-49-151093.795Right calcarine1717-102-34644.317Right calcarine1716-8181763.302Right middle temporal gyrus2159-52-21333.476Football < control	Left inferior temporal gyrus	20	-54	-43	-23	256	3.512	
Right calcarine     17     17     -102     -3     464     4.317       Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Left fusiform	37	-28	-49	-15	109	3.795	
Right calcarine     17     16     -81     8     176     3.302       Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Right calcarine	17	17	-102	-3	464	4.317	
Right middle temporal gyrus     21     59     -52     -2     133     3.476       Football < control	Right calcarine	17	16	-81	8	176	3.302	
Football < control       Left middle cingulate cortex     32     -10     14     33     505     -3.716       Left posterior cingulate cortex     23     -5     -32     26     947     -7.161       Left precuneus     48     -31     12     3     653     -5.181       Left precentral gyrus     6     -50     3     19     245     -4.185       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right putamen     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Right middle temporal gyrus	21	59	-52	-2	133	3.476	
Left middle cingulate cortex   32   -10   14   33   505   -3.716     Left posterior cingulate cortex   23   -5   -32   26   947   -7.161     Left precuneus   48   -31   12   3   653   -5.181     Left precentral gyrus   6   -50   3   19   245   -4.185     Right posterior cingulate cortex   29   4   -31   26   300   -6.340     Right insula   48   36   5   -17   75   -4.604     Right putamen   48   32   10   8   107   -3.671     Right precentral gyrus   6   34   -8   49   61   -3.650	Football < control							
Left posterior cingulate cortex     23     -5     -32     26     947     -7.161       Left precuneus     48     -31     12     3     653     -5.181       Left precentral gyrus     6     -50     3     19     245     -4.185       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right insula     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Left middle cingulate cortex	32	-10	14	33	505	-3.716	
Left precuneus     48     -31     12     3     653     -5.181       Left precentral gyrus     6     -50     3     19     245     -4.185       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right insula     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Left posterior cingulate cortex	23	-5	-32	26	947	-7.161	
Left precentral gyrus     6     -50     3     19     245     -4.185       Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right insula     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Left precuneus	48	-31	12	3	653	-5.181	
Right posterior cingulate cortex     29     4     -31     26     300     -6.340       Right insula     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Left precentral gyrus	6	-50	3	19	245	-4.185	
Right insula     48     36     5     -17     75     -4.604       Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Right posterior cingulate cortex	29	4	-31	26	300	-6.340	
Right putamen     48     32     10     8     107     -3.671       Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Right insula	48	36	5	-17	75	-4.604	
Right precentral gyrus     6     34     -8     49     61     -3.650	Right putamen	48	32	10	8	107	-3.671	
	Right precentral gyrus	6	34	-8	49	61	-3.650	

Abbreviation: BA, Brodmann area.

<sup>a</sup> The multiple comparison correction was used by the threshold-free cluster enhancement correction. Positive *t* values indicate the football group is higher compared with the control group, and negative *t* values indicate the football group is lower compared with the control group.

(r = 0.54) metabolic rates,<sup>41</sup> the DMN in football players may exhibit lower coherence and regional metabolism. In contrast to the DMN findings, numerous cortical areas in the occipitotemporal regions displayed higher ReHo in the football group compared with the control group. This aligns with previous observations of increased ReHo in patients with acute concussions<sup>42</sup> and those with persistent symptoms beyond 3 months after concussion.<sup>43</sup> However, the clinical implications of these ReHo variations, beyond the coherence of neighboring neural connections and regional metabolism, warrant further investigation through well-controlled longitudinal studies.

Smaller-scale studies suggest that the network connectivity increases in the frontal and cingulate regions and decreases in posterior brain regions acutely after a rugby game<sup>10</sup> and a season in collision sports.<sup>11-13</sup> Hypoconnectivity stemming from the right DLPFC was notable in patients with concussions during memory and attention tasks.<sup>44</sup> Unlike previous studies, we were unable to observe a group difference in functional connectivity with DLPFC as a seed. It is possible that since the DLPFC is a highly important brain area, impairments in this region due to concussive or subconcussive head impacts may be transient in nature. Thus, at preseason baseline, there were no discernible differences in functional connectivity in the DLPFC between football players and control athletes.

#### Limitations

This study has limitations. While this is one of the largest neuroimaging studies of adolescent football players, more racial and ethnic diversity would be ideal. A lack of objective measures of history of head impact exposure and severities of head impacts is a limitation. The current study using a multimodal neuroimaging approach has provided a foundational basis that can be leveraged in a future longitudinal study, yet correlations between morphological and physiological changes should be investigated further. Additionally, it is imperative to integrate other neurological variables, such as psychometric assessments, blood biomarkers, and functional assessments, to holistically understand the relationships between head impact exposure and brain health in adolescent athletes.

# Conclusion

Our data derived from advanced neuroimaging techniques suggest that adolescent football players had reduced cortical thickness in fronto-occipital regions and increased thickness in the cingulate cortex, alongside deepened sulcal depth and altered gyrification in widespread regions. Football players also exhibited a lower density of neural activity and coherence of neural signaling in the frontal and medial regions of the brain, but increased activity and coherence were observed in the occipitotemporal regions. Many of the affected brain regions, especially reduced cortical thickness, increased sulcal depth, and reduced neural activity and coherence, were observed in brain areas that are important for mental health well-being. These data support the use of these imaging parameters in a future longitudinal study to gauge the subtle yet cumulative changes in brain structure and neurophysiological effects due to repetitive head impacts.

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Accepted for Publication: December 8, 2023.

Published: February 1, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.54235

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2024 Zuidema TR et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Keisuke Kawata, PhD, Department of Kinesiology, School of Public Health-Bloomington, Indiana University,1025 E 7th St, Bloomington, IN 47405 (kkawata@indiana.edu).

Author Affiliations: Department of Kinesiology, Indiana University School of Public Health, Bloomington (Zuidema, Hou, Kercher, Recht, Sweeney, Chenchaiah, Kawata); Program in Neuroscience, The College of Arts and

Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington (Zuidema, Cheng, Kawata); Research Center for Cross-Straits Cultural Development, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian, China (Hou); Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington (Cheng); Department of Counseling and Educational Psychology, School of Education, Indiana University, Bloomington (Steinfeldt); Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis (Kawata).

Author Contributions: Dr Kawata had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Ms Zuidema and Dr Hou contributed equally to this work.

Concept and design: Kawata.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Zuidema, Hou, Kawata.

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Zuidema, Kercher, Recht, Sweeney, Chenchaiah, Cheng, Steinfeldt, Kawata.

Statistical analysis: Hou.

Obtained funding: Kawata.

*Administrative, technical, or material support:* Zuidema, Kercher, Sweeney, Chenchaiah, Cheng, Steinfeldt, Kawata. *Supervision:* Kercher, Kawata.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Kercher reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) during the conduct of the study. Dr Kawata reported receiving grants from the NIH and Indiana State Department of Health during the conduct of the study. No other disclosures were reported.

**Funding/Support:** This study was supported by the NIH National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (grant No. RO1NS113950 to Dr Kawata) and the Indiana Spinal Cord and Brain Injury Research Fund from the Indiana State Department of Health (grant No. SCTBIRF 00055049 to Dr Kawata).

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor**: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. National Federation of State High School Associations. NFHS Releases first high school sports participation survey in three years. 2022. Accessed March 24, 2023. https://www.nfhs.org/articles/nfhs-releases-first-high-school-sports-participation-survey-in-three-years/

2. Baugh CM, Stamm JM, Riley DO, et al. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: neurodegeneration following repetitive concussive and subconcussive brain trauma. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2012;6(2):244-254. doi:10.1007/s11682-012-9164-5

3. McKee AC, Mez J, Abdolmohammadi B, et al. Neuropathologic and clinical findings in young contact sport athletes exposed to repetitive head impacts. *JAMA Neurol*. 2023;80(10):1037-1050. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol. 2023.2907

**4**. Goswami R, Dufort P, Tartaglia MC, et al. Frontotemporal correlates of impulsivity and machine learning in retired professional athletes with a history of multiple concussions. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2016;221(4):1911-1925. doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1012-0

5. Tremblay S, De Beaumont L, Henry LC, et al. Sports concussions and aging: a neuroimaging investigation. *Cereb Cortex*. 2013;23(5):1159-1166. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs102

**6**. Koerte IK, Mayinger M, Muehlmann M, et al. Cortical thinning in former professional soccer players. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2016;10(3):792-798. doi:10.1007/s11682-015-9442-0

7. Ware AL, Lebel C, Onicas A, et al; Pediatric Emergency Research Canada A-CAP Study Group. Longitudinal gray matter trajectories in pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurology*. 2023;101(7):e728-e739. doi:10.1212/WNL. 000000000207508

8. Dudley JA, Slutsky-Ganesh AB, Diekfuss JA, et al. Helmet technology, head impact exposure, and cortical thinning following a season of high school football. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2022;50(11):1608-1619. doi:10.1007/s10439-022-03023-x

9. Mills BD, Goubran M, Parivash SN, et al. Longitudinal alteration of cortical thickness and volume in high-impact sports. *Neuroimage*. 2020;217:116864. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116864

10. Johnson B, Neuberger T, Gay M, Hallett M, Slobounov S. Effects of subconcussive head trauma on the default mode network of the brain. *J Neurotrauma*. 2014;31(23):1907-1913. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3415

11. Murdaugh DL, King TZ, Sun B, et al. Longitudinal changes in resting state connectivity and white matter integrity in adolescents with sports-related concussion. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2018;24(8):781-792. doi:10.1017/S1355617718000413

12. Abbas K, Shenk TE, Poole VN, et al. Alteration of default mode network in high school football athletes due to repetitive subconcussive mild traumatic brain injury: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Brain Connect.* 2015;5(2):91-101. doi:10.1089/brain.2014.0279

**13**. Slobounov SM, Walter A, Breiter HC, et al. The effect of repetitive subconcussive collisions on brain integrity in collegiate football players over a single football season: a multi-modal neuroimaging study. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2017;14:708-718. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.03.006

14. Ambrosino S, de Zeeuw P, Wierenga LM, van Dijk S, Durston S. What can cortical development in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder teach us about the early developmental mechanisms involved? *Cereb Cortex*. 2017; 27(9):4624-4634. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhx182

**15.** Kohli JS, Kinnear MK, Martindale IA, Carper RA, Müller RA. Regionally decreased gyrification in middle-aged adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. 2019;93(20):e1900-e1905. doi:10.1212/WNL. 000000000008478

**16**. Dahnke R, Yotter RA, Gaser C. Cortical thickness and central surface estimation. *Neuroimage*. 2013;65: 336-348. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.09.050

17. Yan CG, Wang XD, Lu B. DPABISurf: data processing and analysis for brain imaging on surface. *Sci Bull (Beijing)*. 2021;66(24):2453-2455. doi:10.1016/j.scib.2021.09.016

**18**. Lv H, Wang Z, Tong E, et al. Resting-state functional MRI: everything that nonexperts have always wanted to know. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2018;39(8):1390-1399. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A5527

**19**. Talavage TM, Nauman EA, Breedlove EL, et al. Functionally-detected cognitive impairment in high school football players without clinically-diagnosed concussion. *J Neurotrauma*. 2014;31(4):327-338. doi:10.1089/neu. 2010.1512

**20**. Li Y, Wang N, Wang H, Lv Y, Zou Q, Wang J. Surface-based single-subject morphological brain networks: effects of morphological index, brain parcellation and similarity measure, sample size-varying stability and test-retest reliability. *Neuroimage*. 2021;235:118018. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118018

21. Yotter RA, Dahnke R, Thompson PM, Gaser C. Topological correction of brain surface meshes using spherical harmonics. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2011;32(7):1109-1124. doi:10.1002/hbm.21095

22. Luders E, Thompson PM, Narr KL, Toga AW, Jancke L, Gaser C. A curvature-based approach to estimate local gyrification on the cortical surface. *Neuroimage*. 2006;29(4):1224-1230. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.08.049

23. Liu CH, Kung YY, Yeh TC, et al. Differing spontaneous brain activity in healthy adults with two different body constitutions: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Clin Med*. 2019;8(7):951. doi:10. 3390/jcm8070951

24. Fremont R, Dworkin J, Manoochehri M, Krueger F, Huey E, Grafman J. Damage to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex is associated with repetitive compulsive behaviors in patients with penetrating brain injury. *BMJ Neurol Open*. 2022;4(1):e000229. doi:10.1136/bmjno-2021-000229

**25**. Schneider DK, Galloway R, Bazarian JJ, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in athletes sustaining repetitive head impacts: a systematic review of prospective studies. *J Neurotrauma*. 2019;36(20):2831-2849. doi:10.1089/neu. 2019.6398

26. Koerte IK, Wiegand TLT, Bonke EM, Kochsiek J, Shenton ME. Diffusion imaging of sport-related repetitive head impacts: a systematic review. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2023;33(1):122-143. doi:10.1007/s11065-022-09566-z

**27**. Wojtowicz M, Gardner AJ, Stanwell P, Zafonte R, Dickerson BC, Iverson GL. Cortical thickness and subcortical brain volumes in professional rugby league players. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2018;18:377-381. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2018. 01.005

28. Oliveira TG, Ifrah C, Fleysher R, Stockman M, Lipton ML. Soccer heading and concussion are not associated with reduced brain volume or cortical thickness. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0235609. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0235609

29. Li Q, Zhao Y, Chen Z, et al. Meta-analysis of cortical thickness abnormalities in medication-free patients with major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2020;45(4):703-712. doi:10.1038/s41386-019-0563-9

**30**. Mahar I, Alosco ML, McKee AC. Psychiatric phenotypes in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2017;83:622-630. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.08.023

**31**. Kochunov P, Mangin JF, Coyle T, et al. Age-related morphology trends of cortical sulci. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2005; 26(3):210-220. doi:10.1002/hbm.20198

**32**. Im K, Lee JM, Seo SW, Hyung Kim S, Kim SI, Na DL. Sulcal morphology changes and their relationship with cortical thickness and gyral white matter volume in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage*. 2008;43(1):103-113. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.07.016

**33**. Shin SJ, Kim A, Han KM, Tae WS, Ham BJ. Reduced sulcal depth in central sulcus of major depressive disorder. *Exp Neurobiol.* 2022;31(5):353-360. doi:10.5607/en22031

34. Zhang Y, Fang T, Wang Y, et al. Occipital cortical gyrification reductions associate with decreased functional connectivity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2017;11(1):1-7. doi:10.1007/s11682-015-9499-9

**35**. Liu T, Lipnicki DM, Zhu W, et al. Cortical gyrification and sulcal spans in early stage Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e31083. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031083

**36**. Fagan BT, Satapathy SS, Rutledge JN, Kornguth SE. Simulation of the strain amplification in sulci due to blunt impact to the head. *Front Neurol.* 2020;11:998. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00998

37. Youn H, Choi M, Lee S, et al. Decreased cortical thickness and local gyrification in individuals with subjective cognitive impairment. *Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci*. 2021;19(4):640-652. doi:10.9758/cpn.2021.19.4.640

**38**. Wilde EA, Merkley TL, Lindsey HM, et al. Developmental alterations in cortical organization and socialization in adolescents who sustained a traumatic brain injury in early childhood. *J Neurotrauma*. 2021;38(1):133-143. doi: 10.1089/neu.2019.6698

**39**. Xiong KL, Zhang JN, Zhang YL, Zhang Y, Chen H, Qiu MG. Brain functional connectivity and cognition in mild traumatic brain injury. *Neuroradiology*. 2016;58(7):733-739. doi:10.1007/s00234-016-1675-0

**40**. Buckner RL, Sepulcre J, Talukdar T, et al. Cortical hubs revealed by intrinsic functional connectivity: mapping, assessment of stability, and relation to Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(6):1860-1873. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5062-08.2009

**41**. Deng S, Franklin CG, O'Boyle M, et al. Hemodynamic and metabolic correspondence of resting-state voxelbased physiological metrics in healthy adults. *Neuroimage*. 2022;250:118923. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022. 118923

**42**. Meier TB, Giraldo-Chica M, España LY, et al. Resting-state fMRI metrics in acute sport-related concussion and their association with clinical recovery: a study from the NCAA-DOD CARE consortium. *J Neurotrauma*. 2020;37 (1):152-162. doi:10.1089/neu.2019.6471

**43**. Vedaei F, Newberg AB, Alizadeh M, et al. Resting-state functional MRI metrics in patients with chronic mild traumatic brain injury and their association with clinical cognitive performance. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2021;15: 768485. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2021.768485

**44**. Witt ST, Lovejoy DW, Pearlson GD, Stevens MC. Decreased prefrontal cortex activity in mild traumatic brain injury during performance of an auditory oddball task. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2010;4(3-4):232-247. doi:10.1007/s11682-010-9102-3

#### **SUPPLEMENT 1.**

eMethods. Cortical Morphometry and Cortical Surface Functioning Preprocessing Workflow eReferences

SUPPLEMENT 2. Data Sharing Statement